### What Really Happens in Gifted Education: A Portrait of Three States

#### Abstract:

The purpose of this study was to provide a snapshot of gifted education within three states. We examined district and school reports of policies and program practices including service delivery model choices, reassessment decisions, the existence of special activities for traditionally underserved students, and curriculum choices. The data presented in this report reflect the policies and practices for approximately 326 districts and 3,880 schools. Through our examination, one theme in particular emerged: there tends to be a lack of alignment between district selection criteria and various components of gifted services. In this presentation, we will explore this misalignment, discuss recommendations for schools and districts, as well as further examine the alignment between state, district, and school policies and practices.

### **Purpose**

The purpose of this study was to provide a snapshot of gifted education within three states. We examined district and school reports of policies and program practices including service delivery model choices, reassessment decisions, the existence of special activities for traditionally underserved student, and curriculum choices. Through this examination, one theme in particular emerged: district selection criteria does not align with various components of gifted services. We explore this disconnect further in the current study. Additionally, in the full presentation, we will examine the correspondence between state, district, and school policies and practices.

#### **Perspectives**

Peters, Matthews, McCoach, and McBee (2014) in their book on designing and implementing advanced academic programs point out that traditional models for gifted education tend to start with the identification of gifted students. However, when school and district administrators focus their efforts on first designing the gifted program, the identification and selection process, including the selection of assessments, are better aligned with programming decisions and can better predict student success. In the current study, we examine the extent of this alignment with survey data collected from three states.

Having updated information on school and district practice is useful for informing policies, practices, and future scholarship. The most recent data on programs attempting to develop the gifts and talents of promising students are provided by the 2014-2015 State of the States in Gifted Education report (NAGC & CSDPG, 2013), which included data from 40 states, DC, and Guam. While this data provides insight into these programs, the biennial survey is only administered to state-level coordinators. The particulars of gifted programming are dictated by both state and local education agencies and therefore examining district and school practices are essential for understanding the condition of education for gifted children. Additionally, with the growing economic, linguistic, and racial diversity in public schools, it is important to know how schools and districts are serving the diverse array of learners.

#### **Methods**

Between April 2015 and February 2017, we administered surveys to districts and schools in three states mandated to identify and serve gifted students. The district and school surveys were designed to extract current information about effective identification and programming practices in three states. With these surveys, we sought to determine whether key components distinguish districts and schools in which gifted students achieved higher reading or math growth, both across the general population of gifted students and specifically with students from traditionally underserved groups. The *District Level Survey* was sent to all district administrators

with responsibility for gifted education, and the *School Level Survey* was administered to every public school containing a fifth-grade class. These surveys included questions about policies, procedures, and assessments used to identify students for gifted services, as well as a range of programming details.

#### **Data sources**

The data presented in this report reflect the policies and practices for approximately 326 districts and 3,880 schools. The district and school survey contain questions for district administrators and school-level coordinators about current gifted programming practices. Questions on these surveys were aligned with a research-based framework and were developed using an iterative process. The survey questions were presented to a panel consisting of experts in the areas of research design, early childhood education, language arts, gifted education, English language learners, and other traditionally underrepresented populations.

Overall, 304 districts across the three states completed the district survey and 2,293 schools across the three states completed school surveys. Response rates for the district survey ranged from 82.8% to 88.7%. Response rates for the school survey ranged from 48.6% to 73.5%.

#### Results

Regarding identification-related decisions, the majority of responding districts identify students as gifted in Reading only (69%) and Math only (66.3%). Classification patterns differed by state, though. State 3 focuses on Global identification/classification while State 1 eschews global identification/classification in favor of Reading and Math designations, and State 2 is somewhere in between. Regarding evidence use, over 90% of districts in all three states reported utilizing cognitive ability tests, teacher nominations, and achievement test scores as evidence in the identification process. Additionally, as it relates to the decision-making process, a large proportion of districts from across all three states report using a cut-score to make decisions regarding selecting and placing students in the gifted programs. This was especially the case in State 3. Districts in States 1 and 2 also reported utilizing a selection committee.

Regarding programming, the majority of responding districts in all three states do not use a district-wide reading or math curriculum that is specifically designed for gifted students. In fact, almost no districts in any the sample states provide Math curriculum for gifted students. Additionally, the majority of responding schools across the three states do not offer a separate gifted education curriculum for reading/ELA or math. For schools that *do* offer separate curriculum for gifted students, the majority of responding schools use a curriculum that focuses on process skills. A large proportion of schools also focused on providing more depth. Schools were also slightly more likely to report using above grade-level content and faster pace to describe their math curriculum, when compared to their description of the reading curriculum.

Further, when asked how regular education math and reading standards are adjusted for gifted students, the majority of schools across the three states reported that grade level standards are extended or expanded for gifted students (versus using the same regular education standards or above grade level standards for gifted students). Most schools also report that gifted students spend 5 hours or more in the regular education ELA and math classrooms.

Moreover, when asked about the amount of autonomy given to teachers in choosing content to deliver to gifted students, over 50% of districts and schools report that teachers are given 'a lot' or 'complete autonomy in choosing the content taught to the gifted students in the elementary schools. In fact, just under 70% of districts in State 1 report that teachers have 'a lot' or 'complete' autonomy (the percentages in other states were lower with 55.2% in State 2 and 52% in State 3).

In terms of service delivery models, the majority of schools in each of the three states report using pull-out class for gifted instruction, although this model appeared to be more popular in State 1 with over 80% of schools endorsing this class type. When asked about subject match between the pull-out program and the class from which students are pulled, less than 50% of schools reported that there was a match. Between 44% and 45% of schools report that subjects sometimes match. Of the schools that reported offering pull-out classes to students, the majority of responding schools in States 1 and 2 report that the typical 5th grade gifted student receives 1 to 2 hours of pull-out instruction per week. The majority of schools in State 3 report that students receive 5 hours or more per week.

Additionally, a large percentage of responding schools in States 1 and 2 report that gifted students participate in cluster grouping. However, only 36% of State 1 schools report that tiered instructional activities are 'frequently' or 'always' used in cluster groups. Schools in State 2 were more likely to report that teachers 'frequently' or 'always' use tiered instructional activities.

Regarding reassessment decisions, the majority of responding districts across the three states reassessed students who were not identified for the gifted program at regular intervals (States 1 and 2) or as needed (State 3). The majority of responding districts in all three states do not reassess students who have been identified for the gifted program. In other words, across all three states, once students are identified as gifted, they remain gifted during the remainder of their time in the school district.

Finally, the majority of responding districts across the three states do not offer special activities for potentially gifted elementary school students from underrepresented populations. Although more districts in State 1 appear to offer activities than districts in other states. Of the districts that offer special activities for students from underrepresented populations, most of them (across the three states) report utilizing teacher nominations as a means of determining access to these activities. Additionally, districts in State 2 and 3 used standardized tests. Most districts in all three states reported not utilizing a specific curriculum to guide these special activities.

#### **Significance**

Overall, these results suggest that gifted identification and selection across the three states focus on academics (such as reading, math) and/or cognitive ability. However, program practices do not seem to align with this focus. Schools overwhelmingly report using pull-out programs for gifted students, but schools and districts generally do not use a curriculum to guide gifted program activities. Even for those schools that offer a separate curriculum for gifted students, the focus of that curriculum tends to be on process skills (versus above grade level content, for example). Schools report that students identified as gifted in ELA or math tend to spend over 5 hours or more in the regular education ELA or math classroom, respectively and most schools use expanded grade level standards in these classes. Further, most schools and districts report that teachers have 'a lot' or 'complete' autonomy in selecting content for gifted students. Even though these findings might be troubling for some, being aware of what is happening in schools is the first step to improving the condition of education for gifted students. In the full presentation, we will discuss recommendations for schools and districts and further examine the alignment between state, district, and school policies and practices.

### References

Matthews, M., Peters, S., McCoach, D. B., & McBee, M. (2013). Beyond gifted education: Designing and implementing advanced academic programs. Austin, TX: Prufrock Press.

National Association for Gifted Children and the Council of State Directors of Programs for the Gifted (2015). *State of the States in Gifted Education Report*. Retrieved from http://www.nagc.org/sites/default/files/key%20reports/2014-2015%20State%20of%20the%20States%20%28final%29.pdf

**Tables** 

# Which of the following statements describes the way in which elementary students are classified as gifted once they have been identified?

Students Classified as Globally Gifted

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
No	Frequency	89	93	2	184
	Percentage	87.3	64.1	3.9	61.5
Yes	Frequency	13	52	50	116
	Percentage	12.8	35.9	96.2	38.5
Total	Frequency	102	145	52	299
Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100

### Students Classified as Gifted in Reading/ELA

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
No	Frequency	10	33	49	92
110	Percentage	9.7	22.8	100.0	31.0
Yes	Frequency	93	112	0	205
	Percentage	90.3	77.2	0.0	69.0
Total	Frequency	103	145	49	297
Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100

### Students Classified as Gifted in Math

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
No	Frequency	15	36	49	100
	Percentage	14.56	24.83	100	33.67
Yes	Frequency	88	109	0	197
	Percentage	85.4	75.2	0.0	66.3
Total	Frequency	103	145	49	297
1 Otai	Percentage	100	100	100	100

### At what grade level are students most commonly first identified as gifted?

Grade level of Identification

Grade		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
K	Frequency	0	2	1	3
K	Percentage	0.0	1.4	2.0	1.0
1st	Frequency	0	0	10	10
150	Percentage	0.0	0.0	19.6	3.3
2nd	Frequency	5	50	32	87
Zilu	Percentage	4.9	34.5	62.8	29.1
3rd	Frequency	68	75	8	151
Siu	Percentage	66.0	51.7	15.7	50.5
4th	Frequency	30	8	0	38
4ui	Percentage	29.1	5.5	0.0	12.7
5th	Frequency	0	5	0	5
Jui	Percentage	0.0	3.5	0.0	1.7
None of	Frequency	0	5	0	5
the above	Percentage	0.0	3.5	0.0	1.7
Total	Frequency	103	145	51	299
Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100

Does your district use a test as a universal screening procedure (i.e., administer one test to all students at a given grade level to screen for giftedness)?

Universal Screening Use

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
No	Frequency	18	8	39	65
	Percentage	17.7	5.5	75.0	21.7
Yes	Frequency	84	137	13	234
	Percentage	82.4	94.5	25.0	78.3
Total	Frequency	102	145	52	299
	Percentage	100	100	100	100

At what grade level(s) do you administer the universal screener to all students to screen for potential giftedness?

Grade in which Universal Screener Administered

		State 1	State 2	State 3
		N=85	N=138	N=13
Grade K	Frequency	0	2	5
Grade K	Percentage	0	1.5	41.7
Grade 1	Frequency	0	15	5
	Percentage	0	10.9	38.5
Grade 2	Frequency	8	103	9
Grade 2	Percentage	9.4	74.6	75.0
Grade 3	Frequency	71	24	4
Grade 3	Percentage	82.6	17.4	33.3
Grade 4	Frequency	14	5	4
Grade 4	Percentage	16.5	3.6	33.3
Grade 5	Frequency	12	13	3
	Percentage	14.1	9.4	25.0

## What type of assessment do you use as a universal screener?

Type of Assessment used as Universal Screener

		State 1 N=85	State 2 N=138	State 3 N=12	Total
Group Test of Cognitive	Frequency	14	59	4	77
Ability	Percentage	16.5	42.8	36.4	32.9
Non-verbal Test of	Frequency	14	14	3	31
Cognitive Ability	Percentage	16.5	10.1	27.3	13.3
Teacher Rating Scale	Frequency	78	101	1	180
reacher Rating Scale	Percentage	90.7	73.2	9.1	76.6
Standardized	Frequency	23	25	4	52
Achievement Test	Percentage	27.1	18.1	30.8	22.0

## Which of the following pieces of evidence does your district use as part of the identification process?

	Pare	ent Nom	ination				Teac	cher Nor	nination		
		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total			State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
NI.	Frequency	22	17	6	45	NT.	Frequency	9	7	2	18
No	Percentage	21.4	11.7	11.5	15.0	No	Percentage	8.7	4.8	3.9	6.0
Yes	Frequency	81	128	46	255	Yes	Frequency	94	138	50	282
ies	Percentage	78.6	88.3	88.5	85.0	ies	Percentage	91.3	95.2	96.2	94.0
T-4-1	Frequency	103	145	52	300	T-4-1	Frequency	103	145	52	300
Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100	Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100
Teacher Rating Scale						Stude	nt Work	Samples	s		
		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total			State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
N.	Frequency	24	37	4	65	Na	Frequency	38	31	34	103
No	Percentage	23.3	25.5	7.7	21.7	No	Percentage	36.9	21.4	68.0	34.6
Vac	Frequency	79	108	48	235	Yes	Frequency	65	114	16	195
Yes	Percentage	76.7	74.5	92.3	78.3	ies	Percentage	63.1	78.6	32.0	65.4
Total	Frequency	103	145	52	300	Total	Frequency	103	145	50	298
Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100	Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100
	Cogn	itive Ab	ility Tes	t			Acl	hieveme	nt Test		
		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total			State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
No	Frequency	5	8	5	18	No	Frequency	4	6	17	27
NO	Percentage	4.9	5.5	9.6	6.0	NO	Percentage	3.9	4.1	32.7	9.0
Yes	Frequency	98	137	47	282	Yes	Frequency	99	139	35	273
168	Percentage	95.2	94.5	90.4	94.0	168	Percentage	96.1	95.9	67.3	91.0
Total	Frequency	103	145	52	300	Total	Frequency	103	145	52	300
10ta1	Percentage	100	100	100	100	10181	Percentage	100	100	100	100

Observation Tools

Dynamic Assessment

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total			State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
	Frequency	51	41	29	121		Frequency	100	133	49	282
No	Percentage	49.5	28.3	59.2	40.7	No	Percentage	98.0	91.7	100.0	95.3
***	Frequency	52	104	20	176	•	Frequency	2	12	0	14
Yes	Percentage	50.5	71.7	40.8	59.3	Yes	Percentage	2.0	8.3	0.0	4.7
T-4-1	Frequency	103	145	49	297	T-4-1	Frequency	102	145	49	296
Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100	Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100
	Performan	rmance-Based Assessment					Non-V	erbal A	ssessmei	nt	
		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total			State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
No	Frequency	70	57	34	161	No	Frequency	55	46	29	130
NO	Percentage	68.6	39.3	69.4	54.4	NO	Percentage	53.9	31.7	58.0	43.8
Vac	Frequency	32	88	15	135	Vaa	Frequency	47	99	21	167
Yes	Percentage	31.4	60.7	30.6	45.6	Yes	Percentage	46.1	68.3	42.0	56.2
T-4-1	Frequency	102	145	49	296	T-4-1	Frequency	102	145	50	297
Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100	Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100
	C	reativity	Test				Se	lf-Nomi	nation		
		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total			State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
No	Frequency	97	81	44	222	No	Frequency	73	82	35	190
	Percentage	95.1	55.9	86.3	74.5		Percentage	70.9	56.6	70.0	63.8
Yes	Frequency	5	64	7	76	Yes	Frequency	30	63	15	108
	Percentage	4.9	44.1	13.7	25.5		Percentage	29.1	43.5	30.0	36.2
Total	Frequency	102	145	51	298	Total	Frequency	103	145	50	298
	Percentage	100	100	100	100		Percentage	100	100	100	100

Does your district assess English language learners in their native language when identifying students as gifted?

ELL Students Assessed in Native Language

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
Vac always	Frequency	4	27	11	42
Yes, always	Percentage	3.9	18.8	21.2	14.1
Yes, for common	Frequency	14	28	23	65
languages	Percentage	13.7	19.4	44.2	21.8
Only if requested	Frequency	36	45	8	89
Only if requested	Percentage	35.3	31.3	15.4	29.9
No	Frequency	48	44	10	102
140	Percentage	47.1	30.6	19.2	34.2
Total	Frequency	102	144	52	298
- Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100

# Does your district modify the identification process when evaluating students from underserved populations?

District Modifies Identification Process When Evaluating Students from Underserved Populations

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
N.T.	Frequency	76	110	19	205
No	Percentage	74.5	76.4	36.5	68.8
Yes	Frequency	26	34	33	93
1 65	Percentage	25.5	23.6	63.5	31.2
Total	Frequency	102	144	52	298
Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100

# In what ways do you modify the evaluation process for students from underserved populations?

How Districts Modify the Identification Process for Underserved Populations

		State 1 N=27	State 2 N= 34	State 3 N=33	Total
Evaluate ELL in	Frequency	7	11	18	36
Native Language	Percentage	25.9	32.4	54.6	38.3

Non-Verbal	Frequency	17	29	24	70
Assessment	Percentage	63.0	85.3	72.7	74.5
Flexible about Test	Frequency	16	10	29	55
Scores	Percentage	59.3	29.4	87.9	58.5
Talent Pool Approach	Frequency	8	27	5	40
Talent Tool Approach			79.4	15.6	43.0
Extra Consideration	Frequency	12	13	9	34
Extra Consideration	Percentage	63.0 85.3  16 10  59.3 29.4  8 27  29.6 79.4  12 13  44.4 38.2  2 4	28.1	36.6	
Different Weighting	Frequency	2	4	19	25
Different weighting	Percentage	7.4	11.8	59.4	26.9

# Which statements describe your district's decision-making process regarding selecting and placing students in the gifted program?

District Decision Making Process Regarding Selecting and Placing Students in the Gifted Program

		State 1	State 2	State 3
		N=104	N=145	N=52
Selection Committee or	No	36.9%	25.7%	66.7%
Student Study Team	Yes	63.1%	74.3%	33.3%
	No	50.0%	76.4%	64.0%
Matrix	Yes	50.0%	23.6%	36.0%
	No	42.2%	45.1%	13.5%
Cutscore	Yes	57.8%	54.9%	86.5%
Modify Traditional Criteria	No	84.3%	89.6%	46.2%
for Underrepresented Students	Yes	15.7%	10.4%	53.9%

### Curriculum

### Is there a district-wide mathematics curriculum that is specifically designed for gifted students?

District-Wide Mathematics Curriculum Specifically for Gifted Students?

State 1	State 2	State 3	Total

No	Frequency	94	133	50	277
NO	Percentage	91.3%	92.4%	96.2%	92.6%
Yes	Frequency	9	11	2	22
1 68	Percentage	8.7%	7.6%	3.9%	7.4%
Total	Frequency	103	144	52	299
	Percentage	100	100	100	100

Do all elementary schools in your district use this mathematics curriculum with their gifted students?

Do All Elementary School Use the Mathematics Curriculum?

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
No	Frequency	1	5	0	6
NO	Percentage	rcentage 11.11 45.4	45.45	0	27.27
Yes	Frequency	8	6	2	16
168	Percentage	88.89	54.55	100	72.73
Total	Frequency	9	11	2	22
Total	Percentage	ercentage 100 100	100	100	100

Is there a district-wide reading/English language arts curriculum that is specifically designed for gifted students?

District-Wide Reading/ELA Curriculum Specifically for Gifted Students?

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
No	Frequency	90	127	50	267
NO	Percentage	87.4	87.6	96.2	89
Yes	Frequency	13	18	2	33
168	Percentage	12.6	12.4	3.9	11
Total	Frequency	103	145	52	300
Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100

Do all elementary schools in your district use this reading/English language arts curriculum with their gifted students?

Do All Elementary School Use the Reading/ELA Curriculum?

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
No	Frequency	2	9	0	11
110	Percentage	15.4	50.0	0.0	33.3
Yes	Frequency	11	9	2	22
103	Percentage	84.6	50.0	100.0	66.7
Total	Frequency	13	18	2	33
	Percentage	100	100	100	100

Indicate the ways in which the regular education reading/English language arts standards are adapted for gifted students.

ELA Standards: Same Standards

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
no	Frequency	595	274	518	1,387
no	Percentage	67.9	70.1	71.7	69.7
NOS	Frequency	281	117	205	603
yes	Percentage	32.1	29.9	28.4	30.3
Total	Frequency	876	391	723	1,990
Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100

ELA Standards: Extended Learning Activities

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
no	Frequency	394	157	362	913
по	Percentage	44.8	40.0	50.0	45.7
	Frequency	485	236	362	1,083
yes	Percentage	55.2	60.1	50.0	54.3
Total	Frequency	879	393	724	1,996
	Percentage	100	100	100	100

ELA Standards: Expanded Standards

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
no	Frequency	154	81	161	396
no	Percentage	17.5	20.6	22.2	19.8
*****	Frequency	725	312	563	1,600
yes	Percentage	ge 82.5 79	79.4	77.8	80.2
Total	Frequency	879	393	724	1,996
	Percentage	100	100	100	100

ELA Standards: Above Grade Level Standards

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
no	Frequency	499	179	506	1,184
no	Percentage	56.8	45.6	69.9	59.3

yes	Frequency	380	214	218	812
	Percentage	43.2	54.5	30.1	40.7
T 1	Frequency	879	393	724	1,996
Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100

# Indicate the ways in which the regular education mathematics standards are adapted for gifted students.

Math Standards: Same Standards

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
No	Frequency	605	274	521	1,400
NO	Percentage	69.1	70.1	72.1	70.4
Yes	Frequency	271	117	202	590
	Percentage	30.9	29.9	27.9	29.7
Total	Frequency	876	391	723	1,990
	Percentage	100	100	100	100

### Math Standards: Extended Learning Activities

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
No	Frequency	384	168	359	911
110	Percentage	43.8	43.0	49.7	45.8
Yes	Frequency	492	223	364	1,079
	Percentage	56.2	57.0	50.4	54.2
Total	Frequency	876	391	723	1,990
	Percentage	100	100	100	100

### Math Standards: Expanded Standards

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
no	Frequency	154	82	175	411
no	Percentage	17.6	21.0	24.2	20.7

****	Frequency	722	309	548	1,579
yes	Percentage	82.4	79.0	75.8	79.4
	Frequency	876	391	723	1,990
Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100

### Math Standards: Above Grade Level Standards

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
no	Frequency	469	159	500	1,128
no	Percentage	53.5	40.7	69.2	56.7
yes	Frequency	407	232	223	862
	Percentage	46.5	59.3	30.8	43.3
Total	Frequency	876	391	723	1,990
	Percentage	100	100	100	100

# Is there a gifted education curriculum for reading/English language arts that is separate from the regular education curricula offered at your school?

Gifted education curriculum for Reading/ELA that is separate from the regular curricula offered

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
No	Frequency	564	271	580	1,415
	Percentage	64.2	69.0	80.0	70.9
Yes	Frequency	315	122	145	582
	Percentage	35.8	31.0	20.0	29.1
Total	Frequency	879	393	725	1,997
Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100

## At what grade levels is reading/English language arts gifted curriculum used?

Grade levels in which reading/English language arts gifted curriculum used

		State 1 N=308	State 2 N=119	State 3 N=145
Grade	Frequency	62	25	53
K	Percentage	20.1	21.0	36.3
Grade	Frequency	69	42	93
1	Percentage	22.4	35.3	63.7
Grade	Frequency	105	63	119
2	Percentage	34.1	52.9	81.5
Grade	Frequency	216	107	132
3	Percentage	70.1	89.9	90.4
Grade	Frequency	287	113	134
4	Percentage	93.2	95.0	91.8
Grade	Frequency	290	111	131
5	Percentage	94.2	93.3	89.7

## Which of the following statements describe your school's reading/English language arts curriculum for gifted students?

Description of ELA curriculum for gifted students

	State 1	State 2	State 3
	N=309	N=119	N=146
Faster Pace	115	40	60
rasier race	37.2	33.6	41.1
More Depth	236	90	102
Mole Depth	76.4	75.6	69.9
More Breadth	175	53	79
wore breaum	56.6	44.5	54.1
Above Grade Level Content	184	82	79
Above Grade Level Content	59.6	68.9	54.1
Process Skills	252	95	116
FIOCESS SKIIIS	81.6	79.8	79.5

Summary: The majority of responding schools that offer a separate gifted education curriculum for ELA use a curriculum that focuses on process skills. A large proportion of schools also focus on providing more depth in the ELA curriculum < cross tab b/w depth and process skills >

## On average, how many hours per week does a gifted identified student spend receiving this reading/English language arts gifted curriculum?

How many hours per week does a gifted student receive separate ELA curriculum?

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
0 hours	Frequency	2	1	4	7
Officials	Percentage	0.7	0.8	2.8	1.2
1 hour	Frequency	101	27	13	141
1 Hour	Percentage	32.7	22.7	9.0	24.7
2 hours	Frequency	72	37	29	138

	Percentage	23.3	31.1	20.1	24.1
3 hours	Frequency	30	15	12	57
3 nours	Percentage	9.7	12.6	8.3	10.0
4 hours	Frequency	23	15	11	49
4 nours	Percentage	7.4	12.6	7.6	8.6
5 or more	Frequency	75	21	71	167
hours	Percentage	24.3	17.7	49.3	29.2
Don't Know	Frequency	6	3	4	13
Don't Know	Percentage	1.9	2.5	2.8	2.3
Total	Frequency	309	119	144	572
- Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100

# On average, how many weeks per year does a gifted identified student spend receiving this reading/English language arts gifted curriculum?

How many weeks per year does a gifted student receive separate ELA curriculum?

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
0-8 weeks	Frequency	8	4	8	20
0-6 Weeks	Percentage	2.6	3.4	5.6	3.5
0.17 wools	Frequency	4	4	2	10
9-17 weeks	Percentage	1.3	3.4	1.4	1.8
18-26 weeks	Frequency	59	26	19	104
10-20 weeks	Percentage	19.1	21.9	13.2	18.2
27-35 weeks	Frequency	97	53	37	187
21-33 WCCRS	Percentage	31.4	44.5	25.7	32.7
35+ weeks	Frequency	131	27	72	230
33+ weeks	Percentage	42.4	22.7	50.0	40.2
Don't Know	Frequency	10	5	6	21

	Percentage	3.2	4.2	4.2	3.7
Total	Frequency	309	119	144	572
10001	Percentage	100	100	100	100

# Is there a gifted education curriculum for mathematics that is separate from the regular education curricula offered at your school?

Gifted education curriculum for Math that is separate from the regular curricula offered

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
No	Frequency	604	308	595	1,507
	Percentage	69.1	78.8	82.2	75.8
Yes	Frequency	270	83	129	482
	Percentage	30.9	21.2	17.8	24.2
Total	Frequency	874	391	724	1,989
	Percentage	100	100	100	100

## At what grade levels is mathematics gifted curriculum used?

Grade levels in which Math gifted curriculum used

		State 1 N=259	State 2 N= 81	State 3 N=129
Grade	Frequency	54	21	46
K	Percentage	20.9	25.9	35.7
Grade	Frequency	61	32	77
1	Percentage	23.6	39.5	59.7
Grade	Frequency	83	39	105
2	Percentage	32.1	48.2	81.4
Grade	Frequency	170	59	111
3	Percentage	65.6	72.8	86.1
Grade	Frequency	236	72	117
4	Percentage	91.1	88.9	90.7

Grade	Frequency	244	76	117
5	Percentage	94.2	93.8	90.7

## Which of the following statements describe your school's mathematics curriculum for gifted students?

Description of Math curriculum for gifted students

		State 1 N=269	State 2 N=82	State 3 N=132
	Frequency	122	42	69
Faster Pace	Percentage	45.4	51.2	52.3
More In-Depth	Frequency	207	53	103
	Percentage	77.0	64.6	78.0
C + D 14	Frequency	156	40	72
Greater Breadth	Percentage	58.0	48.8	54.6
Alexandra de la Legal Cantant	Frequency	176	57	82
Above Grade Level Content	Percentage	65.4	69.5	62.1
Dun anna Cilvilla	Frequency	204	54	109
Process Skills	Percentage	75.8	65.9	82.6

# On average, how many hours per week does a gifted identified child spend receiving this mathematics gifted curriculum?

How many hours per week does a gifted student receive separate ELA curriculum?

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
0 hours	Frequency	4	2	3	9
0 nours	Percentage	1.5	2.4	2.3	1.9
1 hour	Frequency	103	22	24	149
1 Hour	Percentage	38.4	26.8	18.3	31.0
2 hours	Frequency	63	23	22	108

	Percentage	23.5	28.1	16.8	22.5
3 hours	Frequency	22	6	8	36
3 nours	Percentage	8.2	7.3	6.1	7.5
4 hours	Frequency	12	9	8	29
4 nours	Percentage	4.5	11.0	6.1	6.0
5 or more	Frequency	58	18	62	138
hours	Percentage	21.6	22.0	47.3	28.7
Don't Know	Frequency	6	2	4	12
Don't Know	Percentage	2.2	2.4	3.1	2.5
Total	Frequency	268	82	131	481
Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100

# On average, how many weeks per year does a gifted identified child spend receiving this mathematics gifted curriculum?

How many weeks per year does a gifted student receive separate Math curriculum?

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
0.0	Frequency	13	9	2	20
0-8 weeks	Percentage	4.9	11.0	1.5	4.2
9-17 weeks	Frequency	5	4	1	10
9-17 Weeks	Percentage	1.9	4.9	0.8	2.1
18-26 weeks	Frequency	22	11	9	42
16-20 Weeks	Percentage	8.2	13.4	6.9	8.7
27-35 weeks	Frequency	114	38	50	202
21-33 weeks	Percentage	42.5	46.3	38.2	42.0
35+ weeks	Frequency	104	21	59	184
33+ weeks	Percentage	38.8	25.6	45.0	38.3
Don't Know	Frequency	10	2	7	19
Don't Know	Percentage	3.7	2.4	5.3	4.0

Total	Frequency	268	82	131	481
Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100

Service Models (DS: 15 SS: )

## Which of the following statements describes your district's use of acceleration as a service delivery option for your elementary school gifted students?

Use of Acceleration as a Service Delivery Option

		State 1	State 2	State 3
N. Annalassa	No	90.1%	91.7%	82.0%
No Acceleration	Yes	9.9%	8.3%	18.0%
Cubicat based Assalaustion	No	26.5%	17.2%	28.9%
Subject-based Acceleration	Yes	73.5%	82.8%	71.2%
Eall and Assalantian	No	25.5%	28.3%	28.9%
Full-grade Acceleration	Yes	74.2%	71.7%	71.2%

### Do gifted students at your school attend pull-out classes for gifted instruction?

Do gifted students attend pull-out classes for gifted instruction?

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
No	Frequency	163	127	230	520
	Percentage	18.8	32.7	31.9	26.3
Vec	Frequency	703	261	490	1,454
Yes	Percentage	81.18	67.27	68.06	73.66
Total	Frequency	866	388	720	1,974
Totai	Percentage	100	100	100	100

## How many hours per week of pull-out instruction does a typical 5th grade gifted student receive?

Hours per week of pullout instruction a typical 5th grade gifted student receives

22

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
0 hours	Frequency	12	7	17	36
	Percentage	1.7	2.7	3.5	2.5
1 hour	Frequency	205	92	30	327
	Percentage	29.6	35.8	6.2	22.8
2 hours	Frequency	249	67	62	378
	Percentage	35.9	26.1	12.8	26.4
3 hours	Frequency	80	31	54	165
	Percentage	11.5	12.1	11.2	11.5
4 hours	Frequency	48	27	63	138
	Percentage	6.9	10.5	13.0	9.6
5 more	Frequency	94	30	251	375
hours	Percentage	13.6	11.7	52.0	26.2
Don't	Frequency	5	3	6	14
Know	Percentage	0.7	1.2	1.2	1.0
Total	Frequency	693	257	483	1,433
	Percentage	100	100	100	100

# Does the subject area of the pull-out program match the subject area of the class from which the student is pulled?

Subject match between pull-out program and class from which students are pulled?

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
Yes	Frequency	314	112	187	613
Tes	Percentage	45.2	43.6	38.6	42.7
Sometimes	Frequency	312	116	213	641
Sometimes	Percentage	45.0	45.1	44.0	44.7
No	Frequency	62	22	65	149
NO	Percentage	8.9	8.6	13.4	10.4

Don't Know	Frequency	6	7	19	32
	Percentage	0.9	2.7	3.9	2.2
Total	Frequency	694	257	484	1,435
	Percentage	100	100	100	100

# Indicate the subject areas and grade levels in which students receive pull-out gifted instruction.

Grade levels in which students receive pullout gifted instruction-ELA

		State 1	State 2	State 3
		N=681	N=239	N=392
Grade	Frequency	82	56	145
K	Percentage	12.0	23.4	37.0
Grade	Frequency	138	87	256
1	Percentage	20.3	36.4	65.3
Grade	Frequency	187	125	294
2	Percentage	27.5	52.3	75.0
Grade	Frequency	453	188	309
3	Percentage	66.5	78.7	78.8
Grade	Frequency	648	207	308
4	Percentage	95.2	86.6	78.6
Grade	Frequency	654	201	319
5	Percentage	96.0	84.1	81.4

Grade levels in which students receive pullout gifted instruction-Math

		State 1 N=667	State 2 N=233	State 3 N=383
Grade	Frequency	76	43	142
K	Percentage	11.4	18.5	37.1
	Frequency	121	64	240

Grade 1	Percentage	18.1	27.5	62.7
Grade	Frequency	158	97	285
2	Percentage	23.7	41.6	74.4
Grade	Frequency	431	171	311
3	Percentage	64.6	73.4	81.2
Grade	Frequency	637	199	309
4	Percentage	95.5	85.4	80.7
Grade	Frequency	637	199	309
5	Percentage	95.5	85.4	80.7

Do gifted students at your school participate in push-in classes, in which the gifted education teacher either co-teaches or works with the gifted students in the regular education classroom?

Do gifted students participate in push-in classes?

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
No	Frequency	499	264	553	1,316
	Percentage	58.2	68.9	77.3	67.3
Yes	Frequency	358	119	162	639
	Percentage	41.8	31.1	22.7	32.7
Total	Frequency	857	383	715	1,955
Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100

How many hours per week of push-in instruction does a typical 5th grade gifted student receive?

Hours per week of push-in instruction a typical 5th grade gifted student receives

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
O hours	Frequency	75	29	38	142
0 hours	Percentage	20.9	24.4	23.0	22.1

1 hour	Frequency	138	43	19	200
1 Hour	Percentage	38.4	36.1	11.5	31.1
2 hours	Frequency	60	15	18	93
2 nours	Percentage	16.7	12.6	10.9	14.5
3 hours	Frequency	27	4	19	50
3 nours	Percentage	7.5	3.4	11.5	7.8
4 hours	Frequency	17	5	4	26
4 nours	Percentage	4.7	4.2	2.4	4.0
5 or more	Frequency	28	13	53	94
hours	Percentage	7.8	10.9	32.1	14.6
Don't Know	Frequency	14	10	14	38
Don't Know	Percentage	3.9	8.4	8.5	5.9
Total	Frequency	359	119	165	643
	Percentage	100	100	100	100

For each subject area, indicate the grade levels that have push-in instruction for the gifted students.

Grade levels in which students receive push-in gifted instruction-ELA

		State 1 N=97	State 2 N=131	State 3 N=310
Grade	Frequency	25	26	41
K	Percentage	25.8	19.9	13.2
Grade	Frequency	32	58	52
1	Percentage	33.0	44.3	16.8
Grade	Frequency	37	74	58
2	Percentage	38.1	56.5	18.7
Grade	Frequency	54	73	137
3	Percentage	55.7	55.7	44.2
·	·		·	·

Grade 4 Grade 5	Frequency	61	75	216
	Percentage	62.9	57.3	69.7
	Frequency	64	78	225
	Percentage	66.0	59.5	72.6

## Grade levels in which students receive push-in gifted instruction-Math

		State 1 N=310	State 2 N=99	State 3 N=136
Grade	Frequencies	32	22	17
K	Percentage	10.3	22.2	12.5
Grade	Frequencies	45	29	53
1	Percentage	14.5	29.3	39.0
Grade	Frequencies	56	35	66
2	Percentage	18.1	35.4	48.5
Grade	Frequencies	127	53	76
3	Percentage	41.0	53.5	55.9
Grade	Frequencies	210	55	71
4	Percentage	67.7	55.6	52.2
Grade	Frequencies	235	65	84
5	Percentage	75.8	65.7	61.8

# Do gifted teachers and regular education teachers who co-teach have common planning time?

## Common planning time for gifted and regular education teachers

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
Yes	Frequency	153	47	64	264
168	Percentage	42.5	39.8	39.0	41.1
No	Frequency	194	63	87	340

	Percentage	53.9	53.4	53.0	53.0
Don't Know	Frequency	13	8	13	34
	Percentage	3.6	6.8	7.9	5.3
Total	Frequency	360	118	164	642
Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100

Do gifted students at your school participate in cluster grouping (not homogeneous grouping), in which the gifted students stay in the same classroom as the regular education teacher and students, but are purposefully grouped based on ability?

Do gifted students participate in cluster grouping?

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
Yes	Frequency	540	229	278	1,047
ies	Percentage	62.9	59.8	38.9	53.6
No	Frequency	290	128	374	792
NO	Percentage	33.8	33.4	52.4	40.5
Don't Know	Frequency	28	26	62	116
Don't Know	Percentage	3.3	6.8	8.7	5.9
Total	Frequency	858	383	714	1,955
Total	Percentage	100	100	100	100

### Are tiered instructional activities used with the cluster groups?

Are tiered instructional activities used with the cluster groups?

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
Never	Frequency	5	1	3	9
TVEVE	Percentage	0.9	0.4	1.1	0.9
Rarely	Frequency	46	8	5	59
	Percentage	8.6	3.5	1.8	5.7
Sometimes	Frequency	267	90	64	421
	Percentage	49.7	39.8	23.1	40.5

Eraguantly	Frequency	163	98	146	407
Frequently	Percentage	30.4	43.4	52.7	39.1
Alwaya	Frequency	29	16	44	89
Always	Percentage	5.4	7.1	15.9	8.6
Don't Know	Frequency	27	13	15	55
Don't Know	Percentage	5.0	5.8	5.4	5.3
Total	Frequency	537	226	277	1,040
	Percentage	100	100	100	100

## Indicate the subject areas and grade levels that use cluster grouping.

Grade levels that use cluster grouping- ELA

		State 1	State 2	State 3
		N=530	N=219	N=270
Grade	Frequency	56	69	91
K	Percentage	10.6	31.5	33.7
Grade	Frequency	83	107	155
1	Percentage	15.7	48.9	57.4
Grade	Frequency	114	132	188
2	Percentage	21.5	60.3	69.6
Grade	Frequency	282	184	216
3	Percentage	53.2	84.0	80.0
Grade	Frequency	483	196	216
4	Percentage	91.1	89.5	80.0
Grade	Frequency	494	200	225
5	Percentage	93.2	91.3	83.3

## Grade levels that use cluster grouping- Math

State 1	State 2	State 3
N=524	N=220	N=265

Grade	Frequency	49	56	82
K	Percentage	9.4	25.5	30.9
Grade	Frequency	73	88	139
1	Percentage	13.9	40.0	52.5
Grade	Frequency	95	118	163
2	Percentage	18.1	53.6	61.5
Grade	Frequency	265	166	198
3	Percentage	50.6	75.5	74.7
Grade	Frequency	467	181	199
4	Percentage	89.1	82.3	75.1
Grade	Frequency	483	191	209
5	Percentage	92.2	86.8	78.9

How many hours per week of cluster grouping does a typical 5th grade gifted student receive in the regular education reading/English language arts classroom?

Hours per week of cluster grouping that a typical 5th grade gifted student receives in the regular education ELA classroom

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
0 hours	Frequency	29	8	31	68
O Hours	Percentage	5.7	3.8	12.2	7.0
1 hour	Frequency	30	23	11	64
1 noui	Percentage	5.9	11.0	4.3	6.6
2 hours	Frequency	38	24	32	94
2 110615	Percentage	7.4	11.4	12.6	9.6
3 hours	Frequency	45	22	24	91
3 nours	Percentage	8.8	10.5	9.5	9.3
4 hours	Frequency	22	23	12	57

	Percentage	4.3	11.0	4.7	5.8
5 or more	Frequency	299	91	128	518
hours	Percentage	58.3	43.3	50.4	53.0
Don't Know	Frequency	50	19	16	85
Don't Know	Percentage	9.8	9.1	6.3	8.7
Total	Frequency	513	210	254	977
	Percentage	100	100	100	100

# How many hours per week of cluster grouping does a typical 5th grade gifted student receive in the regular education mathematics classroom?

Hours per week of cluster grouping that a typical 5th grade gifted student receives in the regular education Math classroom

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
0 hours	Frequency	33	15	39	87
O nours	Percentage	6.5	6.8	14.2	8.7
1 hour	Frequency	35	25	16	76
1 Hour	Percentage	6.9	11.4	5.8	7.6
2 hours	Frequency	37	20	21	78
2 nours	Percentage	7.2	9.1	7.6	7.8
3 hours	Frequency	42	17	23	82
3 nours	Percentage	8.2	7.7	8.4	8.2
4 hours	Frequency	23	24	15	62
4 nours	Percentage	4.5	10.9	5.5	6.2
5 or more	Frequency	285	88	121	494
hours	Percentage	55.8	40.0	44.0	49.1
Don't Know	Frequency	56	31	40	127
Don't Know	Percentage	11.0	14.1	14.6	12.6
Total	Frequency	511	220	275	1,006

## 1. Do gifted students at your school attend homogeneously grouped (by ability or achievement level) classes?

Do gifted students attend homogeneously grouped classes (by ability or achievement level)?

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
Yes	Frequency	379	184	308	871
Tes	Percentage	44.4	48.4	43.3	44.8
No	Frequency	440	171	348	959
NO	Percentage	51.5	45.0	49.0	49.3
Don't Know	Frequency	35	25	55	115
Don't Know	Percentage	4.1	6.6	7.7	5.9
Total	Frequency	854	380	711	1,945
	Percentage	100	100	100	100

# For each subject area, indicate the grade levels that have homogeneously grouped classes (by ability or achievement level).

Grade levels that have homogeneously grouped classes- ELA

		State 1	State 2	State 3
		N=371	N=172	N=285
Grade K	Frequency	41	48	85
Grade K	Percentage	11.1	27.9	29.8
Grade 1	Frequency	52	75	144
Grade 1	Percentage	14.0	43.6	50.5
Grade 2	Frequency	72	90	178
Grade 2	Percentage	19.4	52.3	62.5
Grade 3	Frequency	180	117	215
G1 <b></b> 5	Percentage	48.5	68.0	75.4
Grade 4	Frequency	306	124	228

	Percentage	82.5	72.1	80.0
Grade 5	Frequency	317	136	236
Grade 3	Percentage	85.4	79.1	82.8

### Grade levels that have homogeneously grouped classes- Math

		State 1	State 2	State 3
		N=367	N=172	N=284
Grade K	Frequency	33	35	74
Grade K	Percentage	9.0	20.4	26.1
Grade 1	Frequency	45	49	130
Grade 1	Percentage	12.3	28.5	45.8
Grade 2	Frequency	61	67	164
	Percentage	16.6	39.0	57.8
Grade 3	Frequency	171	97	202
Grade 3	Percentage	46.6	56.4	71.1
Grade 4	Frequency	295	124	218
Grade 4	Percentage	80.4	72.1	76.8
Grade 5	Frequency	318	137	230
Orace 3	Percentage	86.7	79.7	81.0

### **Reassessment Decisions**

# Are students who were not identified for the gifted program re-assessed to determine eligibility?

Are Non-Identified Students Re-assessed to Determine Eligibility?

	State 1 N=102	State 2 N=144	State 3 N=52
No	5.9%	9.0%	6.1%
Yes, At Regular Intervals	58.4%	54.2%	16.3%

Yes, As	49.0%	52.8%	84.6%
Needed	49.070	32.070	04.070

Are students who have been identified for the program re-assessed to determine continued eligibility?

Are Identified Students Re-assessed to Determine Continued Eligibility?

	State 1 N=103	State 2 N=143	State 3 N=52
No	81.6%	59.4%	94.2%
Yes, At Regular Intervals	10.8%	30.8%	2.0%
Yes, As Needed	10.8%	11.2%	4.1%

### **Special Activities**

Does your district offer special activities for potentially gifted elementary school students from underrepresented populations (i.e., low income, African American, Hispanic or Latino, Native American, English language learners, twice exceptional) that prepare them to be identified for the gifted program?

District Offers Special Activities for Potentially Gifted Elementary School Students from Underrepresented Populations

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
No	Frequency	62	99	43	204
	Percentages	60.2	68.3	82.7	68.0
Yes	Frequency	41	46	9	96
	Percentages	39.8	31.7	17.3	32.0
Total	Frequency	103	145	52	300
	Percentages	100	100	100	100

Which of the following piece(s) of evidence does your district use when determining which students should participate in these special activities?

Evidence Used to Determine Student Participate in Special Activities

		State 1	State 2	State 3
		N=41	N=45	N=9
Standardized Test	Frequency	17	25	6
Standardized Test	Percentage	41.5	55.6	66.7
Teacher Nominations	Frequency	28	37	6
reaction (volumetrons)	Percentage	68.3	82.2	66.7
Parent Nominations	Frequency	14	17	3
Parent Nominations	Percentage	34.2	37.8	37.5
Observational Tools/	Frequency	24	18	3
Checklists	Percentage	58.5	40.0	37.5
Performance-based	Frequency	17	22	4
Assessment	Percentage	41.5	48.9	50.0
Non-Verbal	Frequency	13	19	4
Assessment	Percentage	31.7	42.2	50.0

### When are these special activities generally offered?

When are Special Activities Offered?

		State 1	State 2	State 3
		N=41	N=43	N=9
During the school day within general education	Frequency	27	18	3
classrooms	Percentage	65.9	41.9	33.3
During the school day	Frequency	24	30	6
outside of general education classrooms	Percentage	58.5	69.8	75.0
Outside of the regular	Frequency	7	27	1
school day	Percentage	17.1	62.8	12.5

Does your district use a specific curriculum to guide these special activities?

District Utilizes Curriculum to Guide Special Activities

		State 1	State 2	State 3	Total
No	Frequency	21	34	5	60
	Percentage	51.2	77.3	55.6	63.8
Yes	Frequency	20	10	4	34
	Percentage	48.8	22.7	44.4	36.2
Total	Frequency	41	44	9	94
	Percentage	100	100	100	100

References: